1	CASINO REINVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY LAND USE REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
2	HAND OOL RECOUNTION AND ENTORCEMENT DIVIDION
3	
4	APPLICATION: 2024-01-3577
5	IMCMV ATLANTIC CITY, LLC
6	
7	
8	APPLICANT SEEKS PRELIMINARY AND FINAL
9	AMENDED SITE PLAN APPROVAL FOR DRY STORAGE
10	STRUCTURE AND RELOCATE EXISTING ROOF MOUNTED SIGN, ALONG WITH VARIANCE RELIEF IF DETERMINED TO BE
11	NECESSARY.
11	THE PROPERTY IS LOCATED AT 1100
12	BOARDWALK, ALSO KNOWN AS BLOCK 1, LOTS 142 AND 142.01 ON THE TAX MAP FOR THE CITY OF ATLANTIC
13	CITY, LOCATED WITHIN THE BEACH DISTRICT (B).
14	
15	THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2024
16	
17	10:07 A.M.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	CCD COUDE DEDODETNO CEDUTOEC II.C
23	CSR COURT REPORTING SERVICES, LLC Certified Court Reporters & Videographers
24	1125 Atlantic Avenue, Suite 543 Atlantic City, New Jersey 08401
25	609-641-7117 FAX: 609-641-7640
۷ ک	

```
Public Hearing in the above-referenced
1
2
    matter conducted at the CASINO REINVESTMENT
    DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, 15 South Pennsylvania
3
4
    Avenue, Atlantic City, New Jersey before Michelle
5
    Gruendel, a Certified Court Reporter and Notary
6
    Public of the State of New Jersey, on Thursday,
7
    February 1, 2024 commencing at 10:07 a.m.
8
    APPEARANCES:
9
    CASINO REINVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY:
10
       LANCE D. LANDGRAF, JR.
11
       CHAIRMAN
       DIRECTOR, PLANNING DEPARTMENT
12
       ROBERT L. REID
13
       LAND USE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER
14
    PROFESSIONALS TO THE BOARD:
       SCOTT G. COLLINS, ESQUIRE
15
       RIKER, DANZIG, SCHERER, HYLAND & PERRETTI
16
       CHRISTINE COFONE, PP
17
       CHRISTOPHER MORRIS, PE
18
       G. JEFFREY HANSON, PE
19
    COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT:
20
       NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA, ESQUIRE
21
       COOPER LEVENSON
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                              I N D E X
 2
                                             PAGE
     WITNESS
 3
        JON BARNHART, PE
 4
     BY NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA
                                             9
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
     EXHIBITS MARKED AND/OR REFERRED TO:
10
        A-1, B-1
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	[COURT REPORTER'S NOTE: THE FOLLOWING
2	TRANSCRIPT WAS PRODUCED FROM THE
3	AUDIO/VIDEO TAKEN BY THE VIDEOGRAPHER
4	PRESENT AT THE HEARING.]
5	LANCE LANDGRAF: Next application is
6	that of Application Number 2024-01-3577, IMCMV
7	Atlantic City, LLC, doing business as LandShark Bar
8	& Grill. It is preliminary and final amended site
9	plan for approval of a dry storage structure and
10	relocating existing roof-mounted sign, along with
11	some variance relief for the property located at
12	1100 Boardwalk, known as Block 1, Lots 142 and
13	142.01. It's located on the Boardwalk in the Beach
14	District.
15	Rob, we're good with notice?
16	ROBERT REID: Yes. I reviewed the proof
17	of service provided by the applicant and we do have
18	jurisdiction to hear the application.
19	LANCE LANDGRAF: And Jeff, we're good
20	with completeness?
21	G. JEFFREY HANSON: Oh, not me today.
22	LANCE LANDGRAF: Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry,
23	guys. Wrong consultant.
24	G. JEFFREY HANSON: Yup.
25	CHRISTINE COFONE: You sure that we did

```
a good job and -- yes.
1
 2
                 LANCE LANDGRAF:
                                  Before we get into it,
 3
    why don't you swear them in, Scott. I have to move
 4
    that up on my list here.
 5
                 SCOTT COLLINS: You two?
 6
                 CHRISTINE COFONE:
                                     Just us two.
 7
    CHRISTINE COFONE, PP, having been first duly sworn
 8
    according to law, testified as follows:
 9
    CHRISTOPHER MORRIS, PE, having been first duly
10
    sworn according to law, testified as follows:
11
                 SCOTT COLLINS: All right.
                                              We'll
12
    recognize them -- Christina's an expert in the
13
    field of professional planning.
14
                 LANCE LANDGRAF:
                                 Yes.
15
                 SCOTT COLLINS: And are you planning and
16
    engineer or just --
17
                 CHRISTOPHER MORRIS:
                                       Just engineer.
18
                 SCOTT COLLINS:
                                 Engineer, okay.
19
                 LANCE LANDGRAF: Both of those fields,
2.0
    yes.
21
                 So we're good on completeness?
22
                 CHRISTINE COFONE:
                                     Yes.
                                           We prepared a
23
    joint review dated January 26, 2024 with ARH.
24
    noted some waivers that were requested, but yes, we
25
    are definitely good.
```

```
LANCE LANDGRAF:
1
                                  Okay.
 2
                 SCOTT COLLINS: Go ahead and mark that
 3
    B-1 --
 4
                 LANCE LANDGRAF:
                                  Yup.
 5
                 SCOTT COLLINS:
                                 -- since we're
 6
    referencing it.
 7
                 Thank you.
 8
                 LANCE LANDGRAF:
                                  Mr. Talvacchia.
 9
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                        Good morning,
10
    everyone.
               Nick Talvacchia on behalf of the
11
    applicant.
12
                 Just by way of background, the Authority
13
    approved this LandShark project probably in 2011 or
14
    2012. We've been back for several amendments,
15
    adding the seating in the front. Last year we
16
    were -- on behalf of the tenant which operates
17
    LandShark, we were here to add a beverage trailer,
18
    which we expect to go into service this coming
19
    summer on the side, but that's a prior approval and
2.0
    we will be back for a new beach bar later,
21
    hopefully in a few months.
22
                 Today's application, though, is about an
23
    expansion of the footprint of the existing
24
               It's all contained within the former
    facility.
25
    Steeplechase Pier site, which is one of the five
```

sites that DEP grandfathered and allows, so we 1 2 actually have a much bigger development potential 3 at that site and under the CRDA regulations it 4 recognizes the ability of the piers to rebuild and 5 has a different height standard of 100 feet. 6 This -- the actual expansion is about 600 square 7 Jon will go into it. It's only for storage. 8 There's also -- and I discussed this with Mr. Reid. 9 There's also other work that's going to be through 10 the Building Department to reconfigure the kitchen. This is all about making food service more 11 12 efficient to get food out to customers. We're not 13 adding any seats. We're actually slightly reducing 14 the existing retail, the second reduction in 15 retail, because the food side has been so strong over the years that we just had to borrow space and 16 17 take space from the retail and we're doing that --18 you want me to close that? 19 LANCE LANDGRAF: No. We can't. She's 20 going to tell them they've got to be guiet out 21 there. 22 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: So the only 23 variance that we identified is for the roof sign 2.4 and that's because there's an existing roof sign 25 which was approved by variance years ago.

expansion will block it so we're looking to relocate that sign. Technically we understand it's a different location. It will be exactly the same sign. So we do ask for the variance for that for the same reasons we did in 2012 when the Authority granted that variance.

2.0

We believe this has no impact on parking because there's no additional seats. It's really an investment in the facility to make it work better during peak times, when there's a lot of demand and they're trying to get, really, food out the door. That's really what this is all about.

So with that, I'd like to have Jon -- I'll qualify him quickly.

Jon, your name for the record.

JON BARNHART: Jon Barnhart, licensed professional engineer, licensed professional planner, certified municipal engineer in the State of New Jersey.

NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Mr. Landgraf, based upon his prior qualifications by this

Authority as an expert in this field, I offer him as an expert in planning and engineering.

LANCE LANDGRAF: A lot of noise out there today.

```
We absolutely do recognize his
1
                 Yes.
 2
    qualifications as --
 3
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Thank you.
 4
                 LANCE LANDGRAF: -- an engineer and
 5
    planner.
 6
                 SCOTT COLLINS: Let's get him sworn in
 7
    first.
 8
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                         Yes.
 9
    JON BARNHART, PE, PP, having been first duly sworn
10
    according to law, testified as follows:
                 SCOTT COLLINS: Thank you.
11
12
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                        So Jon, why don't
13
    you lead off. You have an exhibit. Is this what
14
    we submitted in our application or do we have to
15
    mark this?
16
                 JON BARNHART: Yeah.
                                       I believe this is
17
    part of the app -- the initial application package,
18
    and I've got all, I have all of the plans available
19
    that we can, we can go through, if necessary, but
2.0
    I'm pretty much gonna speak from this rendering
21
    prepared by SOSH because it kind of tells the
22
    entire story of this project.
23
                 So as Nick pointed out, we were
24
    fortunate -- we've been, both been fortunate enough
25
    to work on this project since its inception when
```

the LandShark pier was originally constructed and had multiple iterations of renovations. The subject of this morning's application is -- if you see that black dashed line, that's, that's the entirety of this morning's project. The proposal, as Nick pointed out, is to construct a structure that will house two cold storage areas and a dry storage area and expand upon a low-sloping roof to create cover, add the trash area in between the existing building and the new building area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The purpose of the project, as Nick has mentioned, is that the facility has struggled -and I've actually witnessed or dealt with this myself as a patron. The facility has struggled since it was constructed with, with its efficiency of its kitchen. They have a lot of seats. have -- they do a great job but they always struggle with the efficiency of their kitchen and getting food to the table, so what they -- what they're moving forward with is to utilize some of the existing building interior space to allow them to reconfigure their kitchen and then also create some additional both cold and dry storage in the new space that they are proposing in the building To create a more efficient operation, expansion.

as Nick mentioned, they are not adding any seating to the facility whatsoever and that, that really tells the entire story. It's one of those things that you want, you want to see a project, as successful as this has been, still willing to want to try to make it better, you know. This isn't something that they have to do. They've been -- they're operating for a number of years and they operate successfully, but they, they have -- they want to put in the effort of making it better for the customer experience, which we think is, we think is a true benefit.

2.4

With the application as Nick pointed out, there are two var -- actually, you know what, I'm gonna do variances last. I want to kind of go through this kind of site plan aspect to try to address, I think, the majority of the items in the report that were provided to us.

Just kind of running through, running right through the report in my direct testimony, the way that the building is situated, the roof -- the entirety or the majority of this existing facility has just the roof stream, they shed out to the sand, the dry sand beach. There are some minor areas of gutters and things. The proposed

improvements, the gable, the gable roof structure will shed to the dry sand beach and the low-sloping roof will have a piece of gutter which will drop the water down, again, on to the beach, as well. That has been the pattern of stormwater management for this site and will continue to be. When this building is constructed there is some landscaping in the area of the proposed building footprint. That landscaping will be relocated as identified to the extent possible. If you're familiar with the property, LandShark really packed a lot of greenery into that, into that sand area, so some of it will be eliminated but the material that is within the footprint of this building that is salvageable will be relocated and maintained within the existing -the balance of the landscaped area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

The project area, the proposed project expansion area will be lit just by way of soffit lighting underneath of the flat sloping roof to provide safe lighting under there for employees that are utilizing the space. There is no additional site lighting proposed as part of the project.

As far as the positioning of the structure, there was some question about some of

the dimensions on the plan. The building, the front wall of the new building will sit 20.7 feet back from the, from the -- (unintelligible) -line. At this location -- the actual property line is at the center of the Boardwalk and then there's an easement given back by the, by the ownership of the pier, so technically the dimension would go to the center line of the Boardwalk in this case but we did -- we will identify on the compliance plans a 20.7 foot dimension from the edge of Boardwalk to the face of the building. There was a question, there was a 4 foot dimension shown in this area on the site plan. That was actually an erroneous dimension from a prior iteration of the plan that they were, that they were planning to put forward so we elim -- that, that was -- that's just a note that needs to come off. And we did confirm that the side yard setback to the side property line at this location is 19.4 feet. It was -- again, it was originally gonna be 20 and it got slightly larger, so 19.4 is the proposed side yard. All of those dimensions I'm just identifying for clarification of the record. None of them are variances. The building complies entirely but I just -- just for purposes of the record I did want

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

to clarify that. I did also speak with SOSH

Architects this morning to confirm the building
square footage as currently designed is 590 square
feet. I believe there was -- the plans said or the
application said approximately 600, but I wanted to
get that specific dimension on the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

With regard to the structure itself and the height of the structure, so the way that the ordinance is written, piers, and this is -- this was a pier, is a pier, have permitted heights of up to 100 feet for the structure sitting on the pier. There was some discussion in the report with regard to how height would be measured for a structure The way we viewed it and the way that like this. we -- the way that this project has always been viewed is that essentially every time you build something out here, you're expanding upon the pier and then you're building that building on top of that, which would get the benefit of the hundred foot dimension in height. There is a -- the provision in the ordinance for the beach says if you're going to build something down on the beach, there's a maximum height of an accessory structure. We don't think that that applies here. We believe that this structure should get the benefit of the

```
hundred feet -- hundred foot of height above the
1
 2
    pier.
 3
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                        Jon, just a
 4
    clarification.
 5
                 JON BARNHART: Go ahead.
                                       That 20 foot was
 6
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
 7
    really, it's our recollection, for beach bars
 8
    that -- not on pier sites?
 9
                 JON BARNHART:
                                That's correct.
                                                  It was
10
    really for things that were gonna actually sit down
11
    on the sand, you know, the general permit for
12
    seasonal beach bars and things so that people
13
    weren't building structures out on the beach that
14
    were blocking views and such. So we believe that
15
    from a height perspective that this proposal does
16
    comply with the ordinance.
                                 My recollection is that
17
                 LANCE LANDGRAF:
18
    you would not have been able to build this unless
19
    it was considered a pier.
2.0
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Correct.
21
                 JON BARNHART: Correct.
                                          If it wasn't a
22
    pier it wouldn't have been -- we wouldn't have been
23
    able to get a DEP permit.
24
                 LANCE LANDGRAF:
                                  Correct.
25
                 JON BARNHART: Right. The only
```

```
1
    reason --
 2
                LANCE LANDGRAF: I think that makes
 3
    sense from that aspect.
 4
                 JON BARNHART: Yeah.
                                       As Nick pointed
    out in his opening, this was one, this was one of
 5
 6
    the protected locations as far as being allowed to
 7
    legally reconstruct a pier in Atlantic City.
 8
                LANCE LANDGRAF:
                                 Okav.
 9
                 JON BARNHART: And to be honest, they
10
    are still legally allowed to take this pier out
11
    into the ocean if they were, if they were to so
12
    choose in the future.
13
                NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                      600 plus feet.
14
                 JON BARNHART: Yeah. They do still have
15
    the right to do that.
16
                NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                        Jon, just for the
17
    record, we are getting a CAFRA permit for this
18
    expansion?
19
                 JON BARNHART: Yes.
                                      There is a CAFRA
2.0
    permit pending. I believe it's very near being --
21
                NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                        Issued?
22
                 JON BARNHART: -- being issued, yes.
23
    That's correct.
24
                Okay. So with regard to building floor
25
    elevation, so we are under a full flood hazard
```

review as part of the CAFRA permit that is pending. The building floor, the building floor will comply with the more restrictive of the two maps, both the effective firm and preliminary firm, which technically both still govern in Atlantic City. Ιt will comply with regard to its height of the floor and it will also comply in that they -- SOSH has designed this structure to be structurally independent, which actually then allows them to utilize the lower criteria for where this sits as compared to tying it to the existing pier and being bound by the higher criteria further out towards the ocean, but even if you use the further out criteria, this proposal still complies and that has been a major subject of the DEP application and when we, when we receive that permit obviously we'll provide a copy to you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

Okay. Signage, so Nick mentioned the sign issue. The only change to signage is the surf -- the LandShark surf board that you see on the proposed roof structure right there currently sits right here, on this sloping roof. There's a -- the proposal is just to take that and relocate it here, because from pedestrians it will be blocked once this structure is built. That's the, t

that's the entirety of the sign package change. The sign does not get any bigger. It's essentially the same height, even, because these roof eaves are very close in height, so it's just a relocation of that structure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We talked about lighting. Okav. think that hits all the site plan topics. regard to the variances, the variances that are requested this morning are with regard to the parking, to the extent that we believe there is a parking variance necessary here. I think you could arque that there's not for a couple of reasons. First is that the interior renovation is actually eliminating a little bit of the retail space, the retail store space which has a parking demand in and of itself. The kitchen area is kind of growing into that space so I think you almost kind of have a one for one wash there, because you're eliminating some retail and creating kitchen space which doesn't have a parking demand with it. Also, the fact that if you look at this as, all of this as a restaurant, the restaurant requirement is based on seats and the proposal of expanding this space for storage, both cold and dry, doesn't have seats associated with it, so we don't believe that

a parking increase has occurred with the application. To the extent that that -- that the board does not agree with that, it's a two space deviation with no additional seats, so we think it's extremely de minimis and very clearly justifiable given the fact that we are -- the whole goal of this is just to make a more efficient operation.

2.0

LANCE LANDGRAF: We're fine with that.

CHRISTINE COFONE: I think that's one of the -- I think, in an abundance of caution, they should request the relief because I just think it makes sense to do that. I think it's easily justifiable and I think that what you're doing in this will increase the efficiency of your operation and in no way at all increase the demand for parking, but because the ordinance, I think you could interpret it such that the square footage would generate a parking demand. It doesn't allow you to break out for storage. I think you should ask and I think you sufficiently justified it.

JON BARNHART: Agreed.

And the second variance is with regard to signage. As I mentioned, it is still a roof sign. We are putting it on a roof. The prior

applicant -- the original site plan for the project 1 2 received a variance for that roof sign and we are 3 just proposing to relocate it to a location that's 4 more visible upon completion of construction of the 5 structure. 6 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Jon, the CRDA 7 found in 2011 that that rooftop sign had an aesthetic benefit to justify a (C)(2) variance for 8 9 then because it wasn't permitted? 10 JON BARNHART: That is correct. The 11 rooftop sign structure is a huge part of the 12 LandShark brand. You see it on all of their 13 properties. It's kind of their signature and, and 14 yeah, we discussed it as creating a desirable 15 visual environment through creative design 16 techniques and also, you know, promoting the 17 general welfare. 18 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: So you --19 (unintelligible) -- the CRDA's prior approval of 2.0 the sign variance as justification? 21 All of the justifications JON BARNHART: 22 that were the basis of the granting and approval in

NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Anything else?

the original application would hold true this

23

24

25

morning, yes.

JON BARNHART: Just some quick variance We believe that both of these variances testimony. can be, easily be justified under the (C)(2) criteria, where the benefits of a deviation substantially outweigh any of the potential detriments. You know, in looking at the purposes of zoning and land use law, I think that this application clearly promotes the general welfare. As we've said a couple of times now, there's -- the only benefit to our -- to the applicant here is to make a better experience for the public, you know, to become more efficient so folks don't need to wait at their table as long for their food service, so we believe that this application clearly promotes the general welfare by improving upon what is already a very successful operation and amenity to the public along the Atlantic City Boardwalk.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

I think that this application also provides a desirable visual environment through creative design techniques in that we're building storage, not so exciting but, you know, SOSH has done it in a manner that's extremely tasteful and does not take away from the beauty of the structure, puts it in a location that just kind of works with the balance of the structure, keeps it

in the back of house area and does not create an issue for the guests or the patron experience, so we believe that purpose of zoning is also advanced.

2.0

With regard to the negative criteria, is there a substantial detriment to the zone plan? We have two very, very minor variances. I call them technical variances. We believe that, that the parking is, is not needed but to the extent that it is required by ordinance, it is so de minimis that it has no negative impact to the zone plan or zoning ordinance and we are talking about a sign structure that has already been approved once by this board and we are simply proposing to relocate it, so again, we see no detriment to the zone plan or zoning ordinance.

The second prong of the negative criteria, is there a substantial detriment to the public good. This is an application that is solely for the benefit of the public, to create a better experience for the customers, so we do not see a negative impact with regard to the public good. All of the, all of the potential negatives, trash, noise, all of those, all of those things are frankly being made better with this application. The trash area is actually going to be more, more

invisible from the Boardwalk than it currently is 1 2 by way of constructing this structure. 3 operation of loading and deliveries and all of 4 those things will remain exactly the way that they 5 have been. This will just allow them, frankly, 6 probably to have less deliveries, in fact, because 7 they're going to have a little bit more storage. 8 So we believe there is -- both of the negative 9 criteria are established with the application and 10 with that, we believe that the positives and 11 negatives have both been addressed. 12 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Thank you. 13 I just wanted to make one point. 14 discussed this with Mr. Reid. So there is kitchen 15 work, the kitchen is being totally reworked. That 16 work will probably start as soon as possible, 17 before we had the final authority to start this 18 I just want to make that clear on the 19 We don't consider the kitchen work part of record. 20 this application. 21

LANCE LANDGRAF: I would agree with that.

22

23

2.4

2.5

NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: We talked to Mr. Cox. Our package to DCA has everything in it, because that's the way you would do it. Mr. Cox is

willing to issue building permits and break that out, so the part that the CRDA hasn't completely signed off of -- he may request a confirmation, because he told us -- from CRDA -- it's okay to start the kitchen part, it's not part of this, and that's what I discussed with Mr. Reid, so you may get that request from me.

2.0

LANCE LANDGRAF: Sure. That makes perfect sense, though. I don't have an issue with that. We've done similar letters for other entities that want to get started with parts of projects.

NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: That would be very helpful in getting us -- we're trying to get this done obviously before Memorial Day Weekend.

LANCE LANDGRAF: Sure.

NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: Jon, anything else or are we good?

JON BARNHART: No. Nothing else. I'm happy to answer any questions. We reviewed all the comments in the report. To the extent that we have not already addressed them this morning, we will address them in compliance plans.

LANCE LANDGRAF: Okay. Thank you.

25 SCOTT COLLINS: Jon, I think you said

this but I was taking notes at the time. The exhibit that you've been using today, is that part of the original application package?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2.5

JON BARNHART: Yeah. So just bear with me one second, please. It is sheet A-6 of the application package.

SCOTT COLLINS: Okay. We'll mark the whole application A-1. Thank you.

LANCE LANDGRAF: All right. Christine, you guys want to go through your report? I see of ton of engineering --

CHRISTINE COFONE: Mr. Barnhart has already gone through. I mean, there's not a lot of relief here that they're asking for. It's really technical in nature. I think, as always, Mr. Barnhart has done a comprehensive presentation addressing the statutory proofs that we always can expect from him. I've been to the site. Again, I don't think there's any change of circumstance in the area from the last time the relief was granted for the variance so I take no exception to the variance with the sign relocation or the parking. I think the testimony is complete and addressed all of the statutory requirements.

LANCE LANDGRAF: Great.

1	JON BARNHART: Thank you.
2	LANCE LANDGRAF: Engineering wise?
3	CHRISTOPHER MORRIS: I agree with
4	Christine. Everything we have was addressed.
5	LANCE LANDGRAF: Okay. Great. Thank
6	you.
7	With that, we'll open up to the public.
8	Anybody here with any comments or questions on this
9	application, please step forward, state your name,
10	ask your question or make your comment.
11	Seeing none, we'll close the public
12	portion.
13	Anything final from us?
14	SCOTT COLLINS: No.
15	LANCE LANDGRAF: We're good.
16	As I said in the last application, this
17	March is going to be we can work with you with
18	the internal construction going on but I can't get
19	it on until our March board meeting.
20	NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: I talked to Mr.
21	Reid how we can not, not change it, obviously,
22	but how we can maybe get some of the plan review
23	done sooner and
24	LANCE LANDGRAF: Sure.
25	NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA: not signed off

```
but ready to be signed off.
 1
 2
                 LANCE LANDGRAF: We'll work with you as
 3
    much as possible --
 4
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                          Understood.
 5
                 LANCE LANDGRAF: -- and get that done.
 6
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                         Appreciate that.
 7
    Again, we're done. Thank you.
 8
                 LANCE LANDGRAF: Thanks, Nick.
 9
                 NICHOLAS TALVACCHIA:
                                         Thank you,
10
    everybody.
                  (At 10:30 a.m. proceedings were
11
12
                 concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

I, MICHELLE GRUENDEL, a Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken stenographically and digitally at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my ability.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

16

Michelle Graendel

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

2.5

MICHELLE GRUENDEL, C.C.R. C.C.R. License No. 30X100190500 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey

	amended 1:9	   argue 18:12	<b>beach</b> 1:13
<u>A</u>	4:8	ARH 5:23	4:13 6:20
<b>A-1</b> 3:10 25:8	amendments	asking 25:14	11:24 12:2,4
<b>A-6</b> 25:5	6:14	aspect 11:16	14:21,22
<b>a.m</b> 1:16 2:7	amenity 21:16	16:3	15:7,12,13
27:11	AND/OR 3:9	associated	bear 25:4
ability 7:4	answer 24:20	18:25	beauty 21:23
28:9	Anybody 26:8	Atlantic1:5	behalf 6:10,16
able 15:18,23	app 9:17	1:12,23,24	believe 8:7
above-refe	APPEARANCES	2:4 4:7 16:7	9:16 14:4,24
absolutely 9:1	2:8	17:5 21:17	15:14 16:20
abundance	applicant1:8	attorney 28:11	18:10,25
19:11	2:19 4:17	28:13	21:2,14 22:3
accessory	6:11 20:1	AUDIO/VIDEO	22:7 23:8,10
14:23	21:10	4:3	benefit11:12
accurate 28:6	application	authority1:1	14:19,25
action 28:12	1:4 4:5,6,18	2:3,9 6:12	20:8 21:10
28:15	6:22 9:14,17	8:5,22 23:17	22:19
actual 7:6	10:3 11:13	available 9:18	benefits 21:4
13:4	14:5 17:15	<b>Avenue</b> 1:23	<b>best</b> 28:9
<b>add</b> 6:17 10:9	19:2 20:23	2:4	better 8:10
adding 6:15	21:8,14,18	В	11:6,10
7:13 11:1	22:18,24		21:11 22:19
additional 8:8	23:9,20 25:3	<b>B</b> 1:13	22:24
10:23 12:22	25:6,8 26:9	<b>B-1</b> 3:10 6:3	beverage 6:17
19:4	26:16	back 6:14,20	bigger 7:2
address 11:17	applies 14:24	13:3,6 22:1 background	18:2
24:23	Appreciate	6:12	bit 18:14 23:7
addressed	27:6	balance 12:16	black 10:4
23:11 24:22	<b>approval</b> 1:9 4:9 6:19	21:25	block 1:12 4:12 8:1
25:23 26:4	20:19,22	<b>bar</b> 4:7 6:20	<b>blocked</b> 17:25
addressing	approved 6:13	Barnhart 3:3	blocking 15:14
25:17	7:25 22:12	8:16,16 9:9	board 2:14
advanced 22:3	approximately	9:16 15:5,9	17:20 19:3
aesthetic 20:8	14:5	15:21,25	22:13 26:19
<b>ago</b> 7:25	Architects	16:4,9,14,19	Boardwalk 1:12
<b>agree</b> 19:3 23:21 26:3	14:2	16:22 19:22	4:12,13 13:5
	area 10:8,9,10	20:10,21	13:8,10
Agreed 19:22 ahead 6:2 15:5	12:8,12,16	21:1 24:19	21:17 23:1
allow 10:21	12:17,18	25:4,12,16	borrow 7:16
19:19 23:5	13:12 18:16	26:1	<b>bound</b> 17:12
allowed 16:6	22:1,25	bars 15:7,12	<b>brand</b> 20:12
16:10	25:20	<b>based</b> 8:21	<b>break</b> 19:20
allows 7:1	<b>areas</b> 10:7	18:23	24:1
17:9	11:25	<b>basis</b> 20:22	<b>build</b> 14:16,22

15 10	05 10		
15:18	25:19	concluded	21:4 22:4,17
building 7:10	City1:5,12,13	27:12	23:9
10:10,10,21	1:24 2:4 4:7	conducted 2:2	CSR1:22
10:24 11:21	16:7 17:5	confirm 13:17	currently 14:3
12:7,8,14	21:17	14:2	17:21 23:1
13:1,2,11,24	clarification	confirmation	customer 11:11
14:2,18,18	13:23 15:4	24:3	customers 7:12
15:13 16:24	clarify 14:1	consider 23:19	22:20
17:2,2 21:20	<b>clear</b> 23:18	considered	
24:1	clearly 19:5	15:19	D
<b>built</b> 17:25	21:8,14	construct 10:6	<b>D</b> 2:10 3:1
business 4:7	<b>close</b> 7:18	constructed	<b>DANZIG</b> 2:15
	18:4 26:11	10:1,15 12:7	dashed 10:4
C	<b>COFONE</b> 2:16	constructing	<b>date</b> 28:8
C20:8 21:3	4:25 5:6,7	23:2	<b>dated</b> 5:23
C.C.R28:19,20	5:22 19:10	construction	<b>Day</b> 24:15
<b>CAFRA</b> 16:17,19	25:12	20:4 26:18	<b>DCA</b> 23:24
17:1	cold10:7,23	consultant	<b>de</b> 19:5 22:9
call 22:6	18:24	4:23	<b>dealt</b> 10:13
<b>case</b> 13:8	COLLINS 2:15	contained 6:24	definitely
<b>CASINO</b> 1:1 2:2	5:5,11,15,18	continue 12:6	5:25
2:9	6:2,5 9:6,11	<b>COOPER</b> 2:21	deliveries
caution 19:11	24:25 25:7	copy 17:17	23:3,6
<b>center</b> 13:5,8	26:14	correct 15:9	<b>demand</b> 8:11
CERTIFICATE	come 13:17	15:20,21,24	18:15,20
28:1	coming 6:18	16:23 20:10	19:16,19
certified1:23	commencing 2:7	counsel 2:19	<b>DEP</b> 7:1 15:23
2:5 8:18	comment 26:10	28:11,14	17:15
28:3	comments 24:21	<b>couple</b> 18:12	Department
certify 28:5	26:8	21:9	2:11 7:10
28:10	compared 17:11	Court 1:22,23	design 20:15
CHAIRMAN 2:11	complete 25:23	2:5 4:1 28:3	21:20
<b>change</b> 17:19	completely	<b>cover</b> 10:9	designed 14:3
18:1 25:19	24:2	Cox 23:24,25	17:8
26:21	completeness	CRDA 7:3 20:6	desirable
<b>choose</b> 16:12	4:20 5:21	24:2,4	20:14 21:19
Christina's	completion	CRDA's 20:19	DETERMINED
5:12	20:4	create 10:9,22	1:10
Christine 2:16	compliance	10:25 22:1	detriment 22:5
4:25 5:6,7	13:9 24:23	22:19	22:14,17
5:22 19:10	complies 13:24	creating 18:19	detriments
25:9,12 26:4	17:14	20:14	21:6
CHRISTOPHER	comply 15:16	creative 20:15	development
2:17 5:9,17	17:2,6,7	21:20	1:1 2:3,9
26:3	comprehensive	criteria 17:10	7:2
circumstance	25 <b>:</b> 16	17:12,14	deviation 19:4
		, – -	

21:4	elim 13:16	10:10,21	fine 19:9
different 7:5	eliminated	11:22 12:15	firm 17:4,4
8:3	12:13	17:11	<b>first</b> 5:7,9
digitally 28:7	eliminating	expand 10:8	9:7,9 18:13
dimension 13:7	18:14,19	expanding	<b>five</b> 6:25
13:10,12,14	employee 28:11	14:17 18:23	<b>flat</b> 12:19
14:6,20	28:13	expansion 6:23	<b>flood</b> 16:25
dimensions	employees	7:6 8:1	<b>floor</b> 16:24
13:1,22	12:20	10:25 12:18	17:2,2,6
direct11:20	ENFORCEMENT	16:18	<b>folks</b> 21:12
DIRECTOR 2:11	1:1 2:13	expect 6:18	FOLLOWING 4:1
discussed 7:8	engineer 5:16	25:18	follows 5:8,10
20:14 23:14	5:17,18 8:17	experience	9:10
24:6	8:18 9:4	11:11 21:11	food 7:11,12
discussion	engineering	22:2,20	7:15 8:11
14:12	8:23 25:11	<b>expert</b> 5:12	10:19 21:13
District 1:13	26:2	8:22,23	foot 13:10,12
4:14	entire 9:22	extent 12:10	14:20 15:1,6
DIVISION 1:1	11:3	18:10 19:2	footage 14:3
doing 4:7 7:17	entirely 13:24	22:8 24:21	19:18
19:14	entirety 10:5	extremely 19:5	footprint 6:23
<b>door</b> 8:12	11:22 18:1	21:22	12:8,14
<b>drop</b> 12:3	entities 24:11		foregoing 28:5
<b>dry</b> 1:9 4:9	environment	F	former 6:24
10:7,23	20:15 21:19	<b>face</b> 13:11	<b>forth</b> 28:8
11:24 12:2	erroneous	facility 6:24	fortunate 9:24
18:24	13:13	8:9 10:12,14	9:24
<b>duly</b> 5:7,9 9:9	ESQUIRE 2:15	11:2,23	forward 10:20
	2:20	<b>fact</b> 18:21	13:15 26:9
E	essentially	19:6 23:6	<b>found</b> 20:7
<b>E</b> 3:1	14:16 18:2	familiar 12:10	<b>frankly</b> 22:24
<pre>easement13:6</pre>	established	<b>far</b> 12:24 16:6	23:5
<b>easily</b> 19:13	23:9	<b>FAX</b> 1:24	<b>front</b> 6:15
21:3	everybody	February 1:15	13:2
<b>eaves</b> 18:3	27:10	2:7	<b>full</b> 16:25
<b>edge</b> 13:10	exactly 8:3	<b>feet</b> 7:5,7	further 17:12
effective 17:4	23:4	13:2,19 14:4	17:13 28:10
efficiency	exception	14:11 15:1	<b>future</b> 16:12
10:15,18	25:21	16:13	
19:15	exciting 21:21	<b>field</b> 5:13	G
efficient 7:12	exhibit 9:13	8:22	<b>G</b> 2:15,18 4:21
10:25 19:7	25:2	fields 5:19	4:24
21:12	EXHIBITS 3:9	final 1:8 4:8	<b>gable</b> 12:1,1
<b>effort</b> 11:10	existing1:9	23:17 26:13	general 15:11
elevation	4:10 6:23	financially	20:17 21:8
		1 00 4 4	1 01 1 -
16:25	7:14,24	28:14	21:15

		1:	
generate 19:19	Hearing 2:1	internal 26:18	justified
getting 10:19	4:4	interpret	19:21 21:3
16:17 24:14	height7:5	19:18	justify20:8
given 13:6	14:8,13,20	investment 8:9	K
19:6	14:23 15:1	invisible 23:1	l ————
<b>go</b> 6:2,18 7:7	15:15 17:6	issue 17:19	keeps 21:25
9:19 11:15	18:3,4	22:2 24:1,9	kind 9:21
13:7 15:5	heights 14:10	issued16:21	11:15,16,19
25:10	helpful 24:14	16:22	18:16,17
<b>goal</b> 19:7	hereinbefore	items 11:17	20:13 21:24
going 7:9,20	28:8	iteration	kitchen 7:10
14:22 22:25	<b>higher</b> 17:12	13:14	10:16,18,22
23:7 26:17	hits 18:7	iterations	18:16,19
26:18	hold 20:23	10:2	23:14,15,19
gonna 9:20	honest16:9		24:5
11:15 13:20	hopefully 6:21	J	know 11:6,14
15:10	house 10:7	January 5:23	15:11 20:16
good 4:15,19	22:1	<b>Jeff</b> 4:19	21:6,11,21
5:1,21,25	huge 20:11	<b>JEFFREY</b> 2:18	known 1:12
6:9 22:18,21	hundred 14:19	4:21,24	4:12
24:18 26:15		Jersey 1:24	1.12
	15:1,1	2:4,6 8:19	L
govern 17:5	<b>HYLAND</b> 2:15	28:5,21	<b>L</b> 2:12
grandfathered		<b>job</b> 5:1 10:17	LANCE 2:10 4:5
7:1	identified	joint 5:23	4:19,22 5:2
granted 8:6		, -	5:14,19 6:1
25:20	7:23 12:9	Jon 3:3 7:7	6:4,8 7:19
granting 20:22	identify13:9	8:13,15,16	8:24 9:4
great 10:17	identifying	8:16 9:9,12	
25:25 26:5	13:22	9:16 15:3,5	15:17,24
greenery 12:11	<b>IMCMV</b> 1:5 4:6	15:9,21,25	16:2,8 19:9
<b>Grill</b> 4:8	impact8:7	16:4,9,14,16	23:21 24:8
growing 18:16	22:10,21	16:19,22	24:16,24
Gruendel 2:5	improvements	19:22 20:6	25:9,25 26:2
28:3,19	12:1	20:10,21	26:5,15,24
guests 22:2	improving	21:1 24:17	27:2,5,8
gutter 12:3	21:15	24:19,25	land1:1 2:13
gutters 11:25	inception 9:25	25:4 26:1	21:7
quys 4:23	increase 19:1	<b>JR</b> 2:10	Landgraf 2:10
25:10	19:15,16	jurisdiction	4:5,19,22
	independent	4:18	5:2,14,19
H	17:9	justifiable	6:1,4,8 7:19
<b>HANSON</b> 2:18	initial 9:17	19:6,14	8:20,24 9:4
4:21,24	interested	justification	15:17 <b>,</b> 24
happy 24:20	28:14	20:20	16:2,8 19:9
hazard 16:25	interior 10:21	justificat	23:21 24:8
hear 4:18	18:13	20:21	24:16,24
11501 1.10	10.10	20.21	
	l	I	I

25:9,25 26:2	25:13	5:9,17 26:3	28:4,20
26:5,15,24	Lots 1:12 4:12	MOUNTED 1:9	<b>note</b> 4:1 13:16
27:2,5,8	low-sloping	<b>move</b> 5:3	<b>noted</b> 5:24
landscaped	10:8 12:2	moving 10:20	notes 25:1
12:16	lower 17:10	multiple 10:2	notice 4:15
landscaping		municipal 8:18	number 4:6
12:7,9	M		11:8
LandShark 4:7	maintained	N	
6:13,17 10:1	12:15	<b>N</b> 3:1	0
12:11 17:20	major 17:15	name 8:15 26:9	obviously
20:12	majority 11:17	nature 25:15	17:16 24:15
larger 13:21	11:22	near 16:20	26:21
law 5:8,10	making 7:11	necessary 1:10	occurred 19:1
9:10 21:7	11:10	9:19 18:11	ocean 16:11
<b>lead</b> 9:13	management	need 21:12	17:13
legally 16:7	12:5	needed 22:8	offer8:22
16:10	manner 21:22	needs 13:17	<b>OFFICER</b> 2:13
Let's 9:6	<b>MAP</b> 1:12	negative 22:4	Oh 4:21,22
letters 24:10	maps 17:3	22:10,16,21	okay 5:18 6:1
LEVENSON 2:21	March 26:17,19	23:8	16:8,24
License 28:20	mark 6:2 9:15	negatives	17:18 18:6
licensed8:16	25:7	22:22 23:11	24:4,24 25:7 26:5
8:17	MARKED 3:9 material 12:13	neither 28:10 28:13	once 17:25
lighting 12:19	material 12:13	new1:24 2:4,6	22:12
12:20,22	maximum 14:23	6:20 8:19	open 26:7
18:6	mean 25:13	10:10,24	opening 16:5
line 10:4 13:4	measured 14:13	13:2 28:4,21	operate 11:9
13:4,8,18 list5:4	meeting 26:19	NICHOLAS 2:20	operates 6:16
lit 12:18	Memorial 24:15	3:4 6:9 7:22	operating 11:8
little 18:14	mentioned	8:20 9:3,8	operation
23:7	10:12 11:1	9:12 15:3,6	10:25 19:8
<b>LLC</b> 1:5,22 4:7	17:18 19:24	15:20 16:13	19:15 21:16
loading 23:3	Michelle 2:4	16:16,21	23:3
located 1:11	28:3,19	20:6,18,25	ordinance 14:9
1:13 4:11,13	minimis 19:5	23:12,23	14:21 15:16
location 8:3	22:9	24:13,17	19:17 22:9
13:4,19 20:3	minor 11:24	26:20,25	22:11,15
21:24	22:6	27:4,6,9	original 20:1
locations 16:6	months 6:21	Nick 6:10 9:23	20:23 25:3
long 21:13	morning 6:9	10:6,11 11:1	originally
look 18:21	14:2 18:9	11:13 16:4	10:1 13:20
looking 8:1	20:24 24:22	17:18 27:8	outweigh 21:5
21:6	morning's 10:3	noise8:24	ownership 13:6
lot 8:10,24	10:5	22:23	
10:16 12:11	<b>MORRIS</b> 2:17	Notary 2:5	P
<u> </u>			

. 0.15	1.6 7.10	1 10 05 00 10	01 11 15
package 9:17	16:7,10	19:25 20:19	21:11,17
18:1 23:24	17:11	probably 6:13	22:18,19,21
25:3,6	piers 7:4 14:9	23:6,16	26:7,11 28:4
<b>packed</b> 12:11	<b>place</b> 28:8	proceedings	28:20
<b>PAGE</b> 3:2	<b>plan</b> 1:9 4:9	27:11	purpose 10:11
parking 8:7	11:16 13:1	PRODUCED 4:2	22:3
18:10,11,15	13:13,14	professional	purposes 13:25
18:20 19:1	18:7 20:1	5:13 8:17 <b>,</b> 17	21:6
19:17,19	22:5,10,14	PROFESSIONALS	<b>put</b> 11:10
22:8 25:22	26:22	2:14	13:15
<b>part</b> 9:17	planner8:18	project 6:13	<b>puts</b> 21:24
12:22 17:1	9:5	9:22,25 10:5	putting 19:25
20:11 23:19	planning2:11	10:11 11:4	
24:2,5,5	5:13,15 8:23	12:17,17,23	Q
25:2	13:15	14:15 20:1	qualificat
parties 28:12	<b>plans</b> 9:18	projects 24:12	8:21 9:2
<b>parts</b> 24:11	13:9 14:4	promotes 21:8	qualify 8:14
<b>patron</b> 10:14	24:23	21:15	question 12:25
22:2	please 25:5	promoting	13:11 26:10
<pre>pattern 12:5</pre>	26:9	20:16	questions
<b>PE</b> 2:17,18 3:3	<b>plus</b> 16:13	<b>prong</b> 22:16	24:20 26:8
5:9 9:9	point 23:13	proof 4:16	quick 21:1
<b>peak</b> 8:10	<pre>pointed 9:23</pre>	proofs 25:17	quickly 8:14
pedestrians	10:6 11:13	properties	quiet7:20
17:24	16:4	20:13	R
pending 16:20	portion 26:12	property 1:11	l ————
17:1	positioning	4:11 12:11	ready 27:1 really 8:8,11
Pennsylvania	12:24	13:4,18	8:12 11:2
2:3	positives	proposal 10:5	12:11 15:7
people 15:12	23:10	15:15 17:14	15:10 25:14
perfect 24:9	possible 12:10	17:23 18:23	reason 16:1
permit 15:11	23:16 27:3	proposed 11:25	reasons 8:5
15:23 16:17	potential 7:2	12:8,17,22	18:12
16:20 17:1	21:5 22:22	13:21 17:21	rebuild 7:4
17:16	<b>PP</b> 2:16 5:7	proposing	receive 17:16
permits 24:1	9:9	10:24 20:3	received 20:2
permitted	preliminary	22:13	recognize 5:12
14:10 20:9	1:8 4:8 17:4	protected 16:6	9:1
PERRETTI 2:15	prepared 5:22	<b>provide</b> 12:20	recognizes 7:4
perspective	9:21	17:17	recollection
15:15	PRESENT 4:4	<pre>provided 4:17    11:18</pre>	15:7,17
piece 12:3	presentation 25:16		reconfigure
<pre>pier 6:25 10:1   13:7 14:10</pre>		provides 21:19 provision	7:10 10:22
	pretty 9:20	14:21	reconstruct
14:10,11,17	<pre>prior 6:19   8:21 13:14</pre>		16:7
15:2,8,19,22	0.21 13:14	public 2:1,6	
	I	I	I

record 8:15	REPORTER'S 4:1	s	18:1,2 19:25
13:23,25	Reporters 1:23	<del></del>	20:2,7,11,20
14:6 16:17	REPORTING 1:22	safe12:20	22:11 25:22
23:19	request 19:12	salvageable	signage 17:18
reducing 7:13	24:3,7	sand 11:24,24	17:19 19:24
reduction 7:14	requested 5:24	12:2,12	signature
referencing	18:9	15:11	20:13
6:6	required 22:9	says 14:21	signed 24:3
REFERRED 3:9	requirement	SCHERER 2:15	26:25 27:1
regard 14:7,12	18:22	Scott 2:15 5:3	similar 24:10
16:24 17:6	requirements	5:5,11,15,18	<b>simply</b> 22:13
18:8,9 19:23	25:24	6:2,5 9:6,11	sit13:2 15:10
22:4,21	restaurant	24:25 25:7	<b>site</b> 1:9 4:8
REGULATION 1:1	18:22,22	26:14	6:25 7:3
regulations	restrictive	seasonal 15:12	11:16 12:6
7:3	17:3	seating 6:15	12:22 13:13
Reid2:12 4:16	retail 7:14,15	11:1	18:7 20:1
7:8 23:14	7:17 18:14	<b>seats</b> 7:13 8:8	25:18
24:6 26:21	18:15,19	10:16 18:23	sites 7:1 15:8
<b>REINVESTMENT</b> 1:1 2:2,9	review 5:23 17:1 26:22	18:25 19:4	sits 17:10,22 sitting 14:11
relative 28:11	reviewed 4:16	second 7:14	situated 11:21
28:13	24:20	19:23 22:16	slightly 7:13
relief1:10	reworked 23:15	25:5	13:20
4:11 19:12	right 5:11	see 10:4 11:4	sloping 12:19
25:14,20	11:20 15:25	17:20 20:12	17:22
relocate 1:9	16:15 17:21	22:14,20 25:10	soffit12:18
8:2 17:23	17:22 25:9	Seeing 26:11	<b>solely</b> 22:18
20:3 22:13	<b>RIKER</b> 2:15	SEEKS 1:8	soon 23:16
relocated 12:9	<b>Rob</b> 4:15	sense 16:3	sooner 26:23
12:15	<b>ROBERT</b> 2:12	19:13 24:9	<b>sorry</b> 4:22,22
relocating	4:16	service 4:17	<b>SOSH</b> 9:21 14:1
4:10	roof1:9 7:23	6:18 7:11	17:7 21:21
relocation	7:24 10:8	21:13	<b>South</b> 2:3
18:4 25:22	11:21,23	SERVICES 1:22	<b>space</b> 7:16,17
remain 23:4	12:1,3,19	<b>set</b> 28:8	10:21,24
rendering 9:20	17:21,22	setback 13:18	12:21 18:14
renovation	18:3 19:24	<b>shed</b> 11:23	18:15,17,19
18:13	19:25 20:2	12:2	18:24 19:3
renovations	roof-mounted	sheet 25:5	<b>speak</b> 9:20
10:2	4:10	<b>shown</b> 13:12	14:1
report 11:18	rooftop 20:7	<b>side</b> 6:19 7:15	specific 14:6
11:20 14:12	20:11	13:18,18,21	<b>square</b> 7:6
24:21 25:10 <b>Reporter</b> 2:5	running 11:19 11:19	sign 1:9 4:10	14:3,3 19:18 standard 7:5
28:4	11.19	7:23,24 8:2	standard 7:3
20.7		8:4 17:19	Start 23.10,17
	ourt Donorting Comi		

0.4 · F		1-117:00	
24:5	successful	tell 7:20	trash 10:9
started 24:11	11:5 21:16	tells 9:21	22:22,25
<b>state</b> 2:6 8:18	successfully	11:3	true 11:12
26:9 28:4,21	11:9	tenant 6:16	20:23 28:6
statutory	sufficiently	testified 5:8	try 11:6,16
25:17,24	19:21	5:10 9:10	trying 8:11
Steeplechase	<b>Suite</b> 1:23	testimony	24:14
6:25	summer 6:19	11:20 21:2	<b>two</b> 5:5,6 10:7
stenograph	<b>sure</b> 4:25 24:8	25:23 28:6	11:14 17:3
28:7	24:16 26:24	<b>Thank</b> 6:7 9:3	19:3 22:6
<b>step</b> 26:9	<b>surf</b> 17:20,20	9:11 23:12	tying 17:11
storage1:9	<pre>swear 5:3</pre>	24:24 25:8	
4:9 7:7 10:7	<b>sworn</b> 5:7,10	26:1,5 27:7	U
10:8,23	9:6,9	27:9	underneath
18:24 19:20		<b>Thanks</b> 27:8	12:19
21:21 23:7	Т	the,t17:25	understand8:2
<b>store</b> 18:15	<b>table</b> 10:19	things 11:3,25	Understood
stormwater	21:13	15:10,12	27:4
12:5	take 7:17	22:23 23:4	unintellig
<b>story</b> 9:22	16:10 17:23	think 11:11,12	13:3 20:19
11:3	21:23 25:21	11:17 14:24	use1:1 2:13
<b>stream</b> 11:23	taken 4:3 28:7	16:2 18:7,11	17:13 21:7
strong 7:15	talked18:6	18:17 19:4	utilize 10:20
structurally	23:23 26:20	19:10,11,12	17:10
17:8	talking22:11	19:13,14,17	utilizing
structure1:9	Talvacchia	19:20,21	12:21
4:9 10:6	2:20 3:4 6:8	21:7,18	
12:1,25 14:7	6:9 <b>,</b> 10 7:22	24:25 25:15	
14:8,11,13	8:20 9:3,8	25:19,23	<b>var</b> 11:14
14:23,25	9:12 15:3,6	Thursday 1:15	variance1:10
17:8,21,25	15:20 16:13	2:6	4:11 7:23,25
18:5 20:5,11	16:16,21	time 14:16	8:4,6 18:11
21:24,25	20:6,18,25	25:1,20 28:7	19:23 20:2,8
22:12 23:2	23:12,23	times 8:10	20:20 21:1
structures	24:13,17	21:9	25:21,22
15:13	26:20,25	today 4:21	variances
struggle 10:18	27:4,6,9	8:25 25:2	11:15 13:24
struggled	tasteful 21:22	Today's 6:22	18:8,8 21:2
10:12,14	<b>TAX</b> 1:12	told 24:4	22:6,7
subject 10:3	technical 22:7	ton 25:11	VIDEOGRAPHER
17:15	25:15	top 14:18	4:3
submitted 9:14	technically	topics 18:7	Videographers
substantial	8:2 13:7	totally 23:15	1:23
22:5,17	17:5	trailer 6:17	viewed 14:14
substantially	techniques	transcript 4:2	14:16
21:5	20:16 21:20	28:6	<b>views</b> 15:14
21.0		20.0	
	1	(600) 64	1

			3.7
	I	I	I
visible 20:4	10:13	2	
<b>visual</b> 20:15	work 7:9 8:9	<b>2</b> 20:8 21:3	
21:19	9:25 23:15	<b>20</b> 13:20 15:6	
	23:16,18,19		
W		<b>20.7</b> 13:2,10	
	26:17 27:2	<b>2011</b> 6:13 20:7	
wait 21:13	works 21:25	<b>2012</b> 6:14 8:5	
waivers 5:24	wouldn't15:22	<b>2024</b> 1:15 2:7	
<b>wall</b> 13:2	15:22	5:23	
want 7:18 11:4	written 14:9	2024-01-3577	
11:4,5,10,15	Wrong 4:23		
13:25 23:18	"20"9 1.25	1:4 4:6	
24:11 25:10	x	<b>26</b> 5:23	
	<b>x</b> 3:1		
<b>wanted</b> 14:5	<b>X</b> 3:1	3	
23:13	Y	30X100190500	
<b>wash</b> 18:18		28:20	
wasn't15:21	<pre>yard 13:18,21</pre>		
20:9	<b>yeah</b> 9:16 16:4	4	
water 12:4	16:14 20:14	<b>4</b> 13:12	
way 6:12 11:21	25:4	<b>1</b> 10.12	
12:18 14:8	<b>year</b> 6:15	5	
	years 7:16,25		
14:14,14,15		<b>543</b> 1:23	
19:16 23:2,4	11:8	<b>590</b> 14:3	
23:25	<b>Yup</b> 4:24 6:4		
<b>we'll</b> 5:11	<u>-</u>	6	
17:17 25:7	<b>Z</b>	<b>600</b> 7:6 14:5	
26:7,11 27:2	zone 22:5,10	16:13	
we're 4:15,19	22:14	609-641-7117	
5:21 6:5	zoning 21:7	1:24	
	22:3,11,15	609-641-7640	
7:12,13,17			
8:1 19:9	0	1:24	
21:20 24:14	<b>08401</b> 1:24	7	
26:15 27:7	003011.24		
we've 6:14	1	8	
9:24 21:9		— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
24:10	<b>1</b> 1:12,15 2:7		
Weekend 24:15	4:12	9	
	<b>10:07</b> 1:16 2:7	<b>9</b> 3:4	
welfare 20:17	<b>10:30</b> 27:11		
21:8,15	1007:5 14:11		
weren't15:13	<b>1100</b> 1:11 4:12		
whatsoever	<b>1125</b> 1:23		
11:2	<b>142</b> 1:12 4:12		
willing 11:5			
24:1	<b>142.01</b> 1:12		
wise 26:2	4:13		
WITNESS 3:2	<b>15</b> 2:3		
	<b>19.4</b> 13:19,21		
witnessed			
	l	l	